Fiscal Review Committee February 2006

TENNESSEE BOARD OF REGENTS

Review of Tennessee Board of Regents Compensation

Principles of Compensation:

- o Compensation Plans are required of all institutions.
- Input is provided from campus committees with significant representation from faculty.
- The Board of Regents' responsibility to approve pay increases resulted from an amendment in the State of Tennessee's 1999-2000 appropriations bill on compensation. A Board Committee on Compensation was formed to analyze and recommend action on the pay increases to the full Board.
- The higher education community uses other higher education peers for competitive comparisons.
- Pay increases are intended to bring salaries for faculty and staff to peer levels and/or market targets, consistent with availability of funds.
- Recruitment of New College Presidents requires a national survey of higher education salaries to determine competitive compensation packages

TBR Faculty and Staff:

- 1. Pay increases are essential in offering competitive pay that attracts and retains the best faculty and staff and protecting Tennessee from falling further behind the national and regional salary averages. An investment in our employees is considered an investment in our state's future economic growth and prosperity.
- 2. Pay increases are intended to bring salaries for faculty and staff to peer levels and/or market targets, consistent with availability of funds. Of the 2.5 million equity dollars and 2.2 million in merit (4.7 in million in merit and equity) increases in 2005-06, approximately 50% equity dollars (\$1,197,519) were given to faculty. In addition to the 50%, promotional increases for faculty of over \$750,000 were awarded.
- 3. The Tennessee Board of Regents institutions have developed compensation plans with significant input from campus committees and representation from faculty. Adjustments are recommended by each institutional president according to its unique mission and compensation plan, reviewed by TBR staff, and approved by the full Board. Some institutions have contracted with external resources to develop their plans for internal review. All collect data from the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA), the Board of Regents, and other institutions, and the local marketplace to ensure validation points along the way to set projections and goals.
- 4. A system wide non-exempt (clerical and support) pay plan was developed by the TBR staff in consultation with a committee of system wide campus representation and all institutions had implemented the plan by July 1, 2005.
- 5. Compensation Plans are required of all institutions. Final authority for approval of pay increases rests with the full Board of Regents following a recommendation by the Chancellor. The Board of Regents' responsibility to approve pay increases resulted from an amendment in the State of Tennessee's 1999-2000 appropriations bill on compensation. State law requires each institution to have a compensation plan for faculty and staff. The legislative amendment also requires that the individual salary increases be provided to the Office of Legislative Budget Analysis and the Commissioner of Finance and Administration.
- 6. A Board Committee on Compensation was formed to analyze and recommend action on the pay increases to the full Board. Compensation Guidelines were approved by the full Board at its March 24, 2000 Board meeting.
- 7. A TBR compensation calendar has been developed, along with an executive summary informational report, to present the types of salary transactions subject to approval by the Chancellor and the Board and reportable to the Legislative Budget Office, e.g. merit, equity, reclassification, degree adjustment, faculty promotions, and appointments over \$75,000.
- 8. The Committee on Compensation reviews the pay plan methodologies employed by the institutions in June each year, evaluates the collective impact of the institutional pay increases, and reviews by individual the proposed salaries. The Committee's recommendation is then presented to the full Board for approval of the individual campus increases in September each year. Equity and merit increases are considered annually. Other reportable categories are reported quarterly.

TBR Executive Compensation:

- 1. Attached are worksheets detailing the salary recommendations for presidents and directors. The increases were reviewed by the Committee on Compensation and approved by the full Board at its Fall 2005 meeting. TBR Staff developed the presidents and directors pay plans. The Committee on Compensation was provided the plan for review of TBR executive compensation similar to all other TBR employees. Individual recommendations for salary increases in the executive compensation plans are approved by the full Board similar to all other TBR employees.
- 2. The Tennessee Higher Education Commission determined the new peers for college presidents independent of the Board of Regents, and salaries of the presidents were not a factor used to determine the peers. The university presidents pay plan was updated based on the new Tennessee Higher Education Commission (THEC) funding peers. The community college presidents and the TTC directors are placed in tiers according to budget and enrollment size. Recruitment of New College Presidents requires a national survey of salaries to determine competitive compensation packages
- 3. The target salary levels for all presidents and directors are set at 90% of peers.
- 4. Equity increases are to be phased in over a two-year period. For the 2005-06 year, increases were limited to one-half of the gap or 5%, whichever is greater. The remaining amount is proposed to be granted in the following fiscal year.



Tennessee Board of Regents

1415 Murfreesboro Road - Suite 350 - Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833 (615) 366-4400 FAX (615) 366-4464

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Members of the Tennessee Board of Regents

FROM:

Charles W. Manning, Chancellor

SUBJECT:

Executive Level Compensation

DATE:

September 14, 2005

Attached are worksheets detailing the salary recommendations for presidents and directors that will be presented to the Board for approval, retroactive to July 1, 2005. The university presidents pay plan was updated based on the new THEC funding peers. The community college presidents and the TTC directors are placed in tiers according to budget and enrollment size. The target salary levels for all presidents and directors are set at 90% of peers.

I am recommending that the equity increases be phased in over a two-year period. For the current year, increases would be limited to one-half of the gap or 5%, whichever is greater. The remaining amount would be granted in the following fiscal year. Expense allowances are recommended to remain at current levels.

Attachments

TENNESSEE BOARD OF REGENTS PRESIDENTS TOTAL COMPENSATION SALARY STUDY SEPTEMBER, 2005

Į									
	IARGEI	I ARGEI SALARY REVISIONS	EVISIONS		PROP	PROPOSED SALARY & PERCENT INCREASE	RY & PER	CENT INCR	EASE
					,				
			Increase						
			to Reach	Equity %		Proposed			Proposed
		90% of	%06	Increase	First	First	First	Second	Second
	Salary	Revised	Revised	Revised	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year
Institution	7/1/05	Target	Target	Target	Phase-in	Salary	Equity %	Phase-in	Salary
MON	222,941	260,717	37,776	16.9%	18,888	241,828	8.5%	18.888	260.716
MTSU	204,028	223,808	19,780	9.7%	10,201	214,230	5.0%	9,578	223,808
ETSU	196,583	218,593	22,010	11.2%	11,005	207,588	5.6%	11,005	218,593
TSU	185,400	197,284	11,884	6.4%	9,270	194,670	5.0%	2,614	197,284
D.L.	185,415	201,606	16,191	8.7%	9,271	194,685	5.0%	6,921	201,606
APSU	172,639	186,485	13,846	8.0%	8,632	181,271	5.0%	5,214	186,485
			Increase						
	•		to Reach	Equity %		Proposed			Proposed
		90% of	%06	Increase	First	First	First	Second	Second
	Salary	Revised	Revised	Revised	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year
Institution	7/1/05	Target	Target	Target	Phase-in	Salary	Equity %	Phase-in	Salary
STCC	174,325	163,484	0	0.0%	0	174,325	%0.0	0	174,325
CSTCC	145,979	145,978	0	%0.0	0	145,979	0.0%	0	145,979
PSTCC	145,979	145,978	0	%0.0	0	145,979	0.0%	0	145,979
RSCC	141,727	145,978	4,251	3.0%	4,251	145,978	3.0%	0	145,978
NSCC	131,381	145,978	14,597	11.1%	7,299	138,680	5.6%	7,299	145,978
NSCC	133,906	145,978	12,072	%0.6	6,695	140,601	2.0%	5,377	145,978
WSCC	133,003	145,978	12,975	8.6	6,650	139,653	5.0%	6,325	145,978
COSCC	129,442	133,906	4,464	3.4%	4,464	133,906	3.4%	0	133,906
NSTCC	130,931	133,906	2,975	2.3%	2,975	133,906	2.3%	0	133,906
SCC	119,028	133,906	14,878	12.5%	7,439	126,467	6.2%	7,439	133,906
MSCC	120,515	133,906	13,391	11.1%	969'9	127,211	2.6%	6,696	133,906
CLSCC	122,149	126,360	4,211	3.4%	4,211	126,360	3.4%	Q	126,360
DSCC	126,360	126,360	0	0.0%	0	126,360	0.0%	0	126,360

TENNESSEE BOARD OF REGENTS DIRECTORS TOTAL COMPENSATION SALARY STUDY SEPTEMBER, 2005

		90% of	Increase to Reach 90%	Equity % Increase	First	Proposed First	First	Second	Proposed Second
Institution	Salary	Revised	Revised	Revised	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year
Memobis	100 223	Target	larger	larget	Phase-In	Salary	Equity %	Phase-in	Salary
Monthall	555,801	109,333	ı	0.0% 0.0%		109,333	%0.0	1	109,333
ואסטוואווים	98,989	98,921	•	%0.0	1.	98,989	%0:0	ı	686'86
Viortistown	98,921	98,921	1	0.0%	1	98,921	0.0%	1	98,921
Knoxville	96,722	98,921	2,199	2.3%	2,199	98,921	2.3%	ı	98,921
Hohenwald	88,508	88,508	•	%0.0	1	88,508	0.0%	ı	88,508
Paris	88,508	88,508		0.0%	1	88,508	0.0%	1	88,508
Dickson	88,508	88,508	r	0.0%	1	88,508	.%0.0	•	88,508
Crossville	88,508	88,508		%0.0		88,508	%0.0	1	88,508
Livingston	88,508	88,508		%0.0		88,508	0.0%	ı	88,508
Jackson	87,550	88,508	958	1.1%		88,508	1.1%	ı	88,508
Elizabethton	85,711	88,508	2,797	3.3%		88,508	3.3%	1	88,508
Shelbyville	85,711	88,508	2,797	3.3%		88,508	3.3%	1	88,508
Murfreesboro	85,699	88,508	2,809	3.3%		88,508	3.3%	,	88,508
Pulaski	85,558	88,508	2,950	3.4%		88,508	3.4%	1	88,508
Newbern	78,096	78,095	1	%0.0		78,096	0.0%	,	78,096
Oneida	78,096	78,095	1	%0.0		78,096	%0.0	ı	78,096
McKenzie	77,227	78,095	868	1.1%		78,095	1.1%	ı	78,095
Ripley	76,020	78,095	2,075	2.7%		78,095	2.7%	•	78,095
Jacksboro	75,748	78,095	2,347	3.1%		78,095	3.1%	1	78,095
Covington	75,632	78,095	2,463	3.3%		78,095	3.3%	ŗ	78,095
Crump	75,632	78,095	2,463	3.3%		78,095	3.3%	,	78,095
Harriman	75,614	78,095	2,481	3.3%		78,095	3.3%	ı	78,095
Athens	74,263	78,095	3,832	5.2%		77,976	2.0%	119	78,095
Hartsville	72,100	78,095	5,995	8.3%		75,705	5.0%	2,390	78,095
McMinnville	72,100	78,095	5,995	8.3%		75,705	5.0%	2,390	78,095
Whiteville	72,100	78,095	5,995	8.3%		75,705	2.0%	2,390	78,095

TENNESSEE BOARD OF REGENTS AND UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION JANUARY 2006

Institution	July 1, 2005 Salary	Percent of Peer average	Universit	niversity of Tennessee Salaries
UOM MTSU ETSU TSU TTU APSU	241,828 214,230 207,588 194,670 181,271	83% 86% 85% 89% 87%	UTC UTC MTU	275,834 208,000 181,414
		;		

	July 1, 2005	Percent of
Institution	Salary	Pian
STCC	174.325	%96
CSTCC	145 979	%06 80%
PSTCC	145,979	%06
RSCC	145,978	%06
VSCC	138,680	85%
NSCC	140,601	87%
WSCC	139,653	%98
coscc	133,906	%06
NSTCC	133,906	%06 ·
JSCC	126,467	85%
MSCC	127,211	85%
CLSCC	126,360	%06
DSCC	126,360	%06

Number and Percent of Employees Receiving a Greater Percentage Increase in Salary for 2004-05 to 2005-06 Than the President

er of uation % of Continuation yees Employees ncrease Receiving an		sident than the President	203 37%	141 12%		NA AN	%6	177 9%	314 80%	31 18%	17 8%	72 62%	37 15%	1 1%	29 12%		369 698	NA		68 23%	NA
Number of Continuation Employees with an Increase	Greater than	the President	4	9	0	∀	က	0	3	4	9		ಣ	2	9		2	✓	ဗ	7	4
Number of	Continuation	Employees	544	1,176	1,58	N	863	2,040	393	174	21	-	243	17.	246	23	37.	AN	633	58.	NA
•			APSU	ETSU	MTSU	TSU*	D L	MON	CSTCC	CISCC	CoSCC	DSCC	JSCC	MSCC	NSCC	NSTCC	PSTCC	RSCC*	STCC	VSCC	WSCC*

* Note: TSU, RSCC, and WSCC appointed new presidents during this period.

Tennessee Board of Regents University and Community College Average Faculty Salaries Compared to the THEC Peer Institutions 2000-2001 through 2004-2005 source: SREB DATA EXCHANGE

87.2%	Tennessee as a % of Peers
41,424	THEC Peer Community College Average
36,121	Tennessee Community College Average
89.0%	Tennessee as a % of Peers
55,352	THEC Peer University Average
49,237	l ennessee University Average

2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05
49,237	51,713	52,957	53,957	55,834
55,352	56,973	58,430	59,096	61,361
89.0%	90.8%	%9.06	91.3%	91.0%
36,121	39,019	40,968	41,964	43.551
41,424	43,987	44,984	45,424	46,426
87.2%	88.7%	91.1%	92.4%	93.8%

S
0
0
N
য
9
Ō
N

	Institution	THEC Peer	Percent of	Percent of President as a
Institution	Average	Average	Peers	% of Peers
Austin Peay State Univeristy	52,173	57,910	90.1%	87.0%
East Tennessee State University	54,071	59,986	90.1%	
Middle Tennessee State University	56,584	62,686	90.3%	
Tennessee State University	53,988	59,947	90.1%	
Tennessee Technological University	58,417	59,481	98.2%	
The University of Memphis	59,772	68,153	87.7%	
Community Colleges (Average)	43,551	46,426	93.8%	89.0%